



# Crime in the United States

## 1999

### Uniform Crime Reports



Printed Annually  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Washington, D.C. 20535

Advisory:  
Criminal Justice Information Systems Committee,  
International Association of Chiefs of Police;  
Criminal Justice Information Services Committee,  
National Sheriffs' Association;  
Criminal Justice Information Services Advisory Policy Board

---

For sale by Superintendent of Documents  
U.S. Government Printing Office, Mail Stop: SSOP, Washington, D.C. 20402-9328

ISBN 0-16-048756-0



# FOREWORD

Uniform is defined by Webster as that which is “marked by complete conformity to a rule or pattern or by similarity in salient detail or practice.” For the better part of the twentieth century, the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program has collected, tabulated, and presented crime statistics from city, county, and state law enforcement agencies in the United States in this standardized manner. Despite the Program’s growth and expansion, witnessed by the evolution of its main product from a thin booklet of limited statistics to the comprehensive report that this foreword introduces, the goal of UCR has never wavered. The objective is to compile and maintain useful, reliable, multilevel crime statistics for law enforcement as well as researchers, academicians, the media, and the general public.

Just as the narrow scope of data first collected and published in 1930 has given way to the broader view provided by the modern Summary system, that system is now steadily giving way to the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS). Entering the new century, this venerable Program is being revitalized by the possibilities that NIBRS presents. Not only are the number of crimes tallied, but the nature, diversity, and characteristics of crime are recorded and studied. This information and its implications may well become as important a weapon in the crime-fighting arsenal as any that an agency possesses.

The foreword of the first Uniform Crime Reports publication, *Uniform Crime Reporting: A Complete Manual for Police*, published in 1929 states, “The urgent need for national crime statistics in the United States is so well recognized as to require no debate.” The need continues today. The national staff of UCR rededicates itself in this seventy-first year of the Program to meeting the goal set forth at that time: providing meaningful and dependable national crime data.

# CRIME FACTORS

Each year when *Crime in the United States* is published, many entities—news media, tourism agencies, and other groups with an interest in crime in our Nation—use reported Crime Index figures to compile rankings of cities and counties. These rankings lead to simplistic and/or incomplete analyses which often create misleading perceptions adversely affecting cities and counties, along with their residents. Assessing criminality and law enforcement's response from jurisdiction to jurisdiction must encompass many elements, some of which, while having significant impact, are not readily measurable nor applicable pervasively among all locales. Geographic and demographic factors specific to each jurisdiction must be considered and applied if crime assessment is to approach completeness and accuracy. There are several sources of information which may assist the responsible researcher. The U.S. Bureau of the Census data, for example, can be utilized to better understand the makeup of a locale's population. The transience of the population, its racial and ethnic makeup, its composition by age and gender, education levels, and prevalent family structures are all key factors in assessing and comprehending the crime issue.

Local chambers of commerce, planning offices, or similar entities provide information regarding the economic and cultural makeup of cities and counties. Understanding a jurisdiction's industrial/economic base, its dependence upon neighboring jurisdictions, its transportation system, its economic dependence on nonresidents (such as tourists and convention attendees), its proximity to military installations, etc., all contribute to accurately gauging and interpreting the crime known to and reported by law enforcement.

The strength (personnel and other resources) and the aggressiveness of a jurisdiction's law enforcement agency are also key factors. While information pertaining to the number of sworn and civilian law enforcement employees can be found in this publication, assessment of the law enforcement emphases is, of course, much more difficult. For example, one city may report more crime than a comparable one, not because there is more crime, but rather because its law enforcement agency through proactive efforts identifies more offenses. Attitudes of the citizens toward crime and their crime reporting practices, especially concerning more minor offenses, have an impact on the volume of crimes known to police.

It is incumbent upon all data users to become as well educated as possible about how to categorize and quantify the nature and extent of crime in the United States and in any of the approximately 17,000 jurisdictions represented by law enforcement contributors to this Program. Valid assessments are possible only with careful study and analysis of the various unique conditions affecting each local law enforcement jurisdiction.

Historically, the causes and origins of crime have been the subjects of investigation by varied disciplines. Some factors which are known to affect the volume and type of crime occurring from place to place are:

- Population density and degree of urbanization.
- Variations in composition of the population, particularly youth concentration.
- Stability of population with respect to residents' mobility, commuting patterns, and transient factors.
- Modes of transportation and highway system.
- Economic conditions, including median income, poverty level, and job availability.
- Cultural factors and educational, recreational, and religious characteristics.
- Family conditions with respect to divorce and family cohesiveness.

Climate.

Effective strength of law enforcement agencies.

Administrative and investigative emphases of law enforcement.

Policies of other components of the criminal justice system (i.e., prosecutorial, judicial, correctional, and probational).

Citizens' attitudes toward crime.

Crime reporting practices of the citizenry.

The Uniform Crime Reports give a nationwide view of crime based on statistics contributed by state and local law enforcement agencies. Population size is the only correlate of crime utilized in this publication. While the other factors listed above are of equal concern, no attempt is made to relate them to the data presented. *The reader is, therefore, cautioned against comparing statistical data of individual reporting units from cities, counties, metropolitan areas, states, or colleges and universities solely on the basis of their population coverage or student enrollment.*

**Data users are cautioned against comparing crime trends presented in this report and those estimated by the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Because of differences in methodology and crime coverage, the two programs examine the Nation's crime problem from somewhat different perspectives, and their results are not strictly comparable. The definitional and procedural differences can account for many of the apparent discrepancies in results from the two programs.**

The national Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program would like to hear from you.

The staff at the national UCR Program are continually striving to improve their publications. We would appreciate it if the primary user of this publication would complete the evaluation form at the end of this book and either mail it to us at the indicated address or fax it: 304-625-5394.

# CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Section I—Summary of the Uniform Crime Reporting Program</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Section II—Crime Index Offenses Reported</b>	<b>5</b>
Narrative comments:	
Crime Index Total	5
Violent Crime:	10
Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	13
Forcible rape	24
Robbery	27
Aggravated assault	32
Property Crime:	36
Burglary	39
Larceny-theft	44
Motor vehicle theft	50
Arson	54
Hate Crime	58
Crime Index Tabulations	63
Figures:	
(2.1) Crime clock, 1999	4
(2.2) Crime Index total, 1995-1999	7
(2.3) Crime Index offenses, percent distribution, 1999	8
(2.4) Regional violent and property crime rates, 1999	9
(2.5) Violent crime, 1995-1999	12
(2.6) Murder, 1995-1999	15
(2.7) Murder by relationship, 1999	20
(2.8) Forcible rape, 1995-1999	26
(2.9) Robbery, 1995-1999	30
(2.10) Robbery analysis, 1995-1999	31
(2.11) Aggravated assault, 1995-1999	34
(2.12) Property crime, 1995-1999	38
(2.13) Burglary, 1995-1999	41
(2.14) Burglary analysis, 1995-1999	42
(2.15) Larceny-theft, 1995-1999	46
(2.16) Larceny analysis, 1995-1999	47
(2.17) Larceny analysis, 1999	48
(2.18) Motor vehicle theft, 1995-1999	52
(2.19) Bias-motivated offenses, 1999	61
Tables:	
(2.1) Crime Index total by month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	6
(2.2) Violent crime total by month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	11
Murder:	
(2.3) Month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	14
(2.4) Victims, by race and sex, 1999	14
(2.5) Victims, by age, sex, and race, 1999	16
(2.6) Offenders, by age, sex, and race, 1999	16



(2.7) Victim/offender relationship, by age, 1999	17
(2.8) Victim/offender relationship, by race and sex, 1999	17
(2.9) Types of weapons used, by region, percent distribution, 1999	18
(2.10) Victims, types of weapons used, 1995-1999	18
(2.11) Victims, by age, types of weapons used, 1999	18
(2.12) Circumstances, by relationship, 1999	19
(2.13) Circumstances, by weapon, 1999	21
(2.14) Circumstances, 1995-1999	22
(2.15) Circumstances, by victim sex, 1999	22
Justifiable homicide by weapon, 1995-1999:	
(2.16) Law enforcement	23
(2.17) Private citizen	23
Forcible rape:	
(2.18) Month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	25
Robbery:	
(2.19) Month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	28
(2.20) Region, percent distribution, 1999	28
(2.21) Population group, percent distribution, 1999	29
(2.22) Types of weapons used, by region, percent distribution, 1999	29
Aggravated assault:	
(2.23) Month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	33
(2.24) Types of weapons used, by region, percent distribution, 1999	33
(2.25) Property crime total by month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	37
Burglary:	
(2.26) Month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	40
Larceny-theft:	
(2.27) Month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	45
(2.28) Larceny analysis, by region, percent distribution, 1999	45
Motor vehicle theft:	
(2.29) Month, percent distribution, 1995-1999	51
(2.30) Region, percent distribution, 1999	51
Arson:	
(2.31) Rate, population group, 1999	55
(2.32) Type of property, 1999	55
(2.33) Structures not in use, 1999	56
(2.34) Monetary value of property damaged, 1999	56
(2.35) Offenses cleared by arrest, 1999	56
(2.36) Offenses cleared by arrest of persons under 18 years of age, 1999	57
Hate Crime:	
(2.37) Number of incidents, offenses, victims, and known offenders by bias motivation, 1999	59
(2.38) Number of offenses, victims, and known offenders, by offense, 1999	60
(2.39) Number of known offenders, by race, 1999	60
(2.40) Agency hate crime reporting, by state, 1999	62
Index of crime:	
(1) United States, 1980-1999	64
(2) United States, 1999	65
(3) Regional offense and population distribution, 1999	65
(4) Region, geographic division, and state, 1998-1999	66
(5) State, 1999	74

Tables—Continued	Page
(6) Metropolitan Statistical Area, 1999	83
(7) Offense analysis, United States, 1995-1999	110
Offenses known to the police:	
(8) Cities and towns 10,000 and over in population, 1999	111
(9) Universities and colleges, 1999	152
(10) Suburban counties, 1999	162
(11) Rural counties 25,000 and over in population, 1999	176
Crime trends:	
(12) Population group, 1998-1999	183
(13) Population group, suburban and nonsuburban cities, 1998-1999	185
(14) Population group, suburban and nonsuburban counties, 1998-1999	186
(15) Population group, breakdown of offenses known, 1998-1999	187
Rate, number of crimes per 100,000 inhabitants:	
(16) Population group, 1999	190
(17) Population group, suburban and nonsuburban cities, 1999	192
(18) Population group, suburban and nonsuburban counties, 1999	193
(19) Population group, breakdown of offenses known, 1999	194
(20) Murder, by state, 1999, type of weapon	196
(21) Robbery, by state, 1999, type of weapon	197
(22) Aggravated assault, by state, 1999, type of weapon	198
(23) Offense analysis, number and percent change, 1998-1999	199
(24) Property stolen and recovered, by type and value, 1999	199
 <b>Section III—Crime Index Offenses Cleared</b>	 <b>201</b>
Narrative comments	201
Figure:	
(3.1) Crimes cleared by arrest, 1999	202
Tables:	
Offenses known and percent cleared by arrest:	
(25) Population group, 1999	203
(26) Geographic region and division, 1999	205
(27) Population group, breakdown of offenses known, 1999	207
(28) Number of offenses, by population group cleared by arrest, of persons under 18 years of age, 1999	209
 <b>Section IV—Persons Arrested</b>	 <b>211</b>
Narrative comments	211
(4.1) Arrest for drug abuse violations, by region, 1999	211
Tables:	
(29) Estimated arrests, United States, 1999	212
Number and rate of arrests:	
(30) Geographic region, 1999	213
(31) Population group, 1999	214
Ten-year arrest trends:	
(32) Totals, 1990-1999	216
(33) Sex, 1990-1999	217

Five-year arrest trends:	
(34) Totals, 1995-1999	218
(35) Sex, 1995-1999	219
Current year over previous year arrest trends:	
(36) Totals, 1998-1999	220
(37) Sex, 1998-1999	221
Arrests:	
(38) By age, 1999	222
(39) Males, by age, 1999	224
(40) Females, by age, 1999	226
(41) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 1999	228
(42) By sex, 1999	229
(43) By race, 1999	230
City arrest trends:	
(44) 1998-1999	233
(45) Sex, 1998-1999	234
City arrests:	
(46) Distribution by age, 1999	235
(47) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 1999	237
(48) Distribution by sex, 1999	238
(49) Distribution by race, 1999	239
Suburban county arrest trends:	
(50) 1998-1999	242
(51) Sex, 1998-1999	243
Suburban county arrests:	
(52) Distribution by age, 1999	244
(53) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 1999	246
(54) Distribution by sex, 1999	247
(55) Distribution by race, 1999	248
Rural county arrest trends:	
(56) 1998-1999	251
(57) Sex, 1998-1999	252
Rural county arrests:	
(58) Distribution by age, 1999	253
(59) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 1999	255
(60) Distribution by sex, 1999	256
(61) Distribution by race, 1999	257
Suburban area arrest trends:	
(62) 1998-1999	260
(63) Sex, 1998-1999	261
Suburban area arrests:	
(64) Distribution by age, 1999	262
(65) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 1999	264
(66) Distribution by sex, 1999	265
(67) Distribution by race, 1999	266
(68) Police disposition of juvenile offenders taken into custody, 1999	269
(69) Arrests, by state, 1999	270

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Section V—The Chances of Lifetime Murder Victimization, 1997</b>	<b>279</b>
Narrative comments	279
Figures	
(5.1) Age specific murder rates, by sex	280
(5.2) Age specific murder rates, by race	280
(5.3) Murder victimization, by sex	283
(5.4) Murder victimization, by race	283
(5.5) Male murder victimization, by race	284
(5.6) Female murder victimization, by race	284
Tables:	
(5.1) Total U.S. population, murder rate, and number of murders by year	279
(5.2) Lifetime victimization rate of murder (5 year)	281
(5.3) 1978 Lifetime victimization rate by murder (10 year)	282
(5.4a) Expected number of lifetime murder victims, 1997	282
(5.4b) Expected number of lifetime murder victims, 1978	282
(5.5) Percent decrease in lifetime murder victimization (1997 vs. 1978)	285
(Appendix A) Age-specific murder rates, 1997	286
(Appendix B) Age-specific murder rates, 1978	288
<b>Section VI—Law Enforcement Personnel</b>	<b>291</b>
Narrative comments	291
Tables:	
Full-time law enforcement employees, October 31, 1999:	
(70) Employees, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by population group	292
(71) Officers, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by population group	293
(72) Employees, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants	294
(73) Officers, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants	295
(74) Employees, percent male and female	296
(75) Civilian employees, percent of total, population group	297
(76) State law enforcement agencies	298
(77) State	299
(78) Cities	300
(79) Universities and colleges	368
(80) Suburban counties	374
(81) Rural counties	381
<b>Section VII—Appendices</b>	<b>397</b>
Appendix I—Methodology	397
Appendix II—Offenses in Uniform Crime Reporting	405
Appendix III—Uniform Crime Reporting Area Definitions	407
Appendix IV—The Nation’s Two Crime Measures	410
Appendix V—Directory of State Uniform Crime Reporting Programs	413
Appendix VI—National Uniform Crime Reporting Program Directory	420
Appendix VII—Uniform Crime Reporting Publications List	421

