

Crime in the United States



1997 Uniform Crime Reports

Release Date: Sunday, November 22, 1998

UNIFORM CRIME REPORTS

for the United States

1997

SUMMARY

CRIME INDEX

CRIMES CLEARED

PERSONS ARRESTED

TOPICAL STUDY

LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

APPENDICES

**PRINTED
ANNUALLY**

Federal Bureau of Investigation
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20535

ADVISORY:

Committee on Uniform Crime Records
International Association of Chiefs of Police;
Committee on Uniform Crime Reporting
National Sheriffs' Association;
Criminal Justice Information Services Advisory Policy Board

FOREWORD

Since 1930, *Crime in the United States* has been the primal source for publication of the Nation's crime data. The data, a culmination of monthly law enforcement reports and individual crime incident records, are voluntarily submitted to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program. The primary objective of the UCR Program is to provide a reliable set of criminal justice statistics for law enforcement administration, operation, and management. To assist participating agencies, the Criminal Justice Information Services Division now offers a Quality Assurance Review to help maintain program integrity and to assure accountability and validity of the data reported.

UCR statistics make possible studies among regions, states, counties, cities, towns, and college and university campuses with similar populations and other common characteristics. It is imperative that other crime factors, such as those mentioned on the following page, are considered when studying specific populations to avoid simplistic ranking which often results in distorted perceptions of the crime in specific locales. In analyzing the data, responsible researchers may theorize about the possible causes of crime, fluctuations of crime trends, and effects of crime on a particular area. Therefore, the statistics are not only an aid to the law enforcement community in its assessment of crime, but they are also an aid to varied government entities and their interest groups, community development organizations, university researchers, and private citizens for a myriad of purposes.

The UCR Program shares with local, state, and federal law enforcement an ever-increasing commitment to develop computerized data-collection systems. This commitment is being realized through the research, training, and technical assistance efforts between the Program and law enforcement agencies. Not only do these systems allow for the collection of crime statistics, but some of them also link associated criminal justice information systems which generate data that benefit detectives, prosecutors, and officers in patrol cars. These automated records-management systems are the foundation for incident-based reporting, expanding the scope of reporting to include each crime or arrest that occurs within an incident. As more agencies submit data by the incident-based reporting method, the FBI will be able to offer more extensive crime statistics to the community of data users. A small sample of the types of data that can be generated from incident-based reporting appears in Section V of this edition of *Crime in the United States*. This special study presents National Incident-Based Reporting System data in concert with Summary data in an examination of gender and juvenile crime.

This sixty-ninth publication of *Crime in the United States* is the collaborative effort of diverse talents working toward a common goal. As the twenty-first century approaches, the UCR Program staff will continue with its determination to develop the most comprehensive crime statistics collection program in the world and in its commitment to a strong and effective partnership with local, state, and federal law enforcement.

CRIME FACTORS

Each year when *Crime in the United States* is published, many entities—news media, tourism agencies, and other groups with an interest in crime in our Nation—use reported Crime Index figures to compile rankings of cities and counties. These rankings lead to simplistic and/or incomplete analyses which often create misleading perceptions adversely affecting cities and counties, along with their residents. Assessing criminality and law enforcement's response from jurisdiction to jurisdiction must encompass many elements, some of which, while having significant impact, are not readily measurable nor applicable pervasively among all locales. Geographic and demographic factors specific to each jurisdiction must be considered and applied if crime assessment is to approach completeness and accuracy. There are several sources of information which may assist the responsible researcher. The U.S. Bureau of the Census data, for example, can be utilized to better understand the makeup of a locale's population. The transience of the population, its racial and ethnic makeup, its composition by age and gender, education levels, and prevalent family structures are all key factors in assessing and comprehending the crime issue.

Local chambers of commerce, planning offices, or similar entities provide information regarding the economic and cultural makeup of cities and counties. Understanding a jurisdiction's industrial/economic base, its dependence upon neighboring jurisdictions, its transportation system, its economic dependence on nonresidents (such as tourists and convention attendees), its proximity to military installations, etc., all contribute to accurately gauging and interpreting the crime known to and reported by law enforcement.

The strength (personnel and other resources) and the aggressiveness of a jurisdiction's law enforcement agency are also key factors. While information pertaining to the number of sworn and civilian law enforcement employees can be found in this publication, assessment of the law enforcement emphases is, of course, much more difficult. For example, one city may report more crime than a comparable one, not because there is more crime, but rather because its law enforcement agency through proactive efforts identifies more offenses. Attitudes of the citizens toward crime and their crime reporting practices, especially concerning more minor offenses, have an impact on the volume of crimes known to police.

It is incumbent upon all data users to become as well educated as possible about how to categorize and quantify the nature and extent of crime in the United States and in any of the over 17,000 jurisdictions represented by law enforcement contributors to this Program. Valid assessments are possible only with careful study and analysis of the various unique conditions affecting each local law enforcement jurisdiction.

Historically, the causes and origins of crime have been the subjects of investigation by varied disciplines. Some factors which are known to affect the volume and type of crime occurring from place to place are:

- Population density and degree of urbanization.

- Variations in composition of the population, particularly youth concentration.

- Stability of population with respect to residents' mobility, commuting patterns, and transient factors.

- Modes of transportation and highway system.

- Economic conditions, including median income, poverty level, and job availability.

- Cultural factors and educational, recreational, and religious characteristics.

- Family conditions with respect to divorce and family cohesiveness.

- Climate.

- Effective strength of law enforcement agencies.

- Administrative and investigative emphases of law enforcement.

- Policies of other components of the criminal justice system (i.e., prosecutorial, judicial, correctional, and probational).

- Citizens' attitudes toward crime.

- Crime reporting practices of the citizenry.

The Uniform Crime Reports give a nationwide view of crime based on statistics contributed by local and

state law enforcement agencies. Population size is the only correlate of crime utilized in this publication. While the other factors listed above are of equal concern, no attempt is made to relate them to the data presented. *The reader is, therefore, cautioned against comparing statistical data of individual reporting units from cities, counties, metropolitan areas, states, or colleges and universities solely on the basis of their population coverage or student enrollment.*

Data users are cautioned against comparisons of crime trends presented in this report and those estimated by the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Because of differences in methodology and crime coverage, the two programs examine the Nation's crime problem from somewhat different perspectives, and their results are not strictly comparable. The definitional and procedural differences can account for many of the apparent discrepancies in results from the two programs.

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|----------|
| Section I — Summary of the Uniform Crime Reporting Program | 1 |
| Section II — Crime Index Offenses Reported | 7 |
| Narrative comments: | |
| Crime Index Total | 5 |
| Violent Crime: | 11 |
| Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter | 15 |
| Forcible rape | 25 |
| Robbery | 28 |
| Aggravated assault | 33 |
| Property Crime: | 37 |
| Burglary | 40 |
| Larceny–theft | 45 |
| Motor vehicle theft | 51 |
| Arson | 55 |
| Hate Crime | 59 |
| Crime Index Tabulations | 65 |
| Charts: | |
| Crime clock, 1997 | 6 |
| Crime Index total, 1993-1997 | 8 |
| Crime Index offenses, percent distribution, 1997 | 9 |
| Regional violent and property crime rates, 1997 | 10 |
| Violent crime, 1993-1997 | 13 |
| Murder, 1993-1997 | 17 |
| Forcible rape, 1993-1997 | 27 |
| Robbery, 1993-1997 | 30 |
| Robbery analysis, 1993-1997 | 32 |
| Aggravated assault, 1993-1997 | 35 |
| Property crime, 1993-1997 | 39 |
| Burglary, 1993-1997 | 42 |
| Burglary analysis, 1993-1997 | 43 |
| Larceny–theft, 1993-1997 | 47 |
| Larceny analysis, 1993-1997 | 48 |
| Larceny analysis, 1997 | 49 |
| Motor vehicle theft, 1993-1997 | 53 |
| Bias-motivated offenses, 1997 | 61 |
| Tables: | |
| Crime Index total by month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 8 |
| Violent crime total by month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 13 |
| Murder: | |
| By month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 16 |
| Victims by race and sex, 1997 | 16 |
| Age, sex, and race of victims, 1997 | 18 |
| Age, sex, and race of offenders, 1997 | 18 |
| Victim/offender relationship by age, 1997 | 19 |
| Victim/offender relationship by race and sex, 1997 | 19 |
| Types of weapons used, 1997 | 20 |
| Victims, types of weapons used, 1993-1997 | 20 |
| Victims, types of weapons used, 1997 | 20 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Circumstances by relationship, 1997 | 21 |
| Circumstances by weapon, 1997 | 22 |
| Circumstances, 1993-1997 | 23 |
| Circumstances by victim sex, 1997 | 23 |
| Justifiable homicide by weapon, 1993-1997: | |
| Law enforcement | 24 |
| Private citizen | 24 |
| Forcible rape, by month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 26 |
| Robbery: | |
| By month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 29 |
| Percent distribution, region, 1997 | 29 |
| Percent distribution, population group, 1997 | 31 |
| Types of weapons used, 1997 | 31 |
| Aggravated assault: | |
| By month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 34 |
| Types of weapons used, 1997 | 34 |
| Property crime total by month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 38 |
| Burglary, by month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 41 |
| Larceny-theft: | |
| By month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 46 |
| Percent distribution, region, 1997 | 46 |
| Motor vehicle theft: | |
| By month, percent of annual total, 1993-1997 | 52 |
| Percent distribution, region, 1997 | 52 |
| Arson, 1997: | |
| Rate, population group | 56 |
| Type of property | 56 |
| Structures not in use | 57 |
| Monetary value of property damaged | 57 |
| Offenses cleared by arrest | 57 |
| Offenses cleared by arrest of persons under 18 years of age | 57 |
| Hate Crime, 1997: | |
| Number of incidents, offenses, victims, and known offenders by bias motivation, 1997 | 60 |
| Number of offenses, victims, and known offenders by offense, 1997 | 62 |
| Number of known offenders by race, 1997 | 62 |
| Agency hate crime reporting by state | 63 |
| Index of crime: | |
| United States, 1978-1997 | 66 |
| United States, 1997 | 67 |
| Regional offense and population distribution, 1997 | 67 |
| Region, geographic division, and state, 1996-1997 | 68 |
| State, 1997 | 76 |
| Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1997 | 88 |
| Offense analysis, United States, 1993-1997 | 116 |
| Number of offenses known to the police, 1997: | |
| Cities and towns 10,000 and over in population | 117 |
| Universities and colleges | 163 |
| Suburban counties | 173 |
| Rural counties 25,000 and over in population | 187 |
| Crime trends, offenses known to the police, 1996-1997: | |
| Population group | 195 |
| Suburban and nonsuburban cities, population group | 197 |

| Tables — Continued | Page |
|---|------------|
| Suburban and nonsuburban counties, population group | 198 |
| Offense breakdown, population group | 199 |
| Crime rates, offenses known to the police, 1997: | |
| Population group | 201 |
| Suburban and nonsuburban cities, population group | 203 |
| Suburban and nonsuburban counties, population group | 204 |
| Offense breakdown, population group | 205 |
| Murder, state, types of weapons, 1997 | 207 |
| Robbery, state, types of weapons, 1997 | 208 |
| Aggravated assault, state, types of weapons, 1997 | 209 |
| Offense analysis, 1997, and percent change from 1996 | 210 |
| Type and value of property stolen and recovered, 1997 | 210 |
| Section III — Crime Index Offenses Cleared | 211 |
| Narrative comments | 211 |
| Chart: | |
| Crimes cleared by arrest, 1997 | 212 |
| Tables: | |
| Offenses known and percent cleared by arrest, 1997: | |
| Population group | 213 |
| Geographic region and division | 215 |
| Offense breakdown, population group | 217 |
| Offenses cleared by arrest of persons under 18 years of age, 1997 | 219 |
| Section IV — Persons Arrested | 221 |
| Narrative comments | 221 |
| Tables: | |
| Arrests for drug abuse violations, 1997 | 221 |
| Total estimated arrests, United States, 1997 | 222 |
| Arrests, number and rate, 1997: | |
| Region | 223 |
| Population group | 224 |
| Total arrest trends: | |
| 1988-1997 | 226 |
| Sex, 1988-1997 | 227 |
| 1993-1997 | 228 |
| Sex, 1993-1997 | 229 |
| 1996-1997 | 230 |
| Sex, 1996-1997 | 231 |
| Total arrests, 1997: | |
| Distribution by age | 232 |
| Male arrests, distribution by age | 234 |
| Female arrests, distribution by age | 236 |
| Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age | 238 |
| Distribution by sex | 239 |
| Distribution by race | 240 |
| City arrest trends: | |
| 1996-1997 | 243 |
| Sex, 1996-1997 | 244 |
| City arrests, 1997: | |
| Distribution by age | 245 |
| Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age | 247 |

| Tables — Continued | Page |
|---|------------|
| Distribution by sex | 248 |
| Distribution by race | 249 |
| Suburban county arrest trends: | |
| 1996-1997 | 252 |
| Sex, 1996-1997 | 253 |
| Suburban county arrests, 1997: | |
| Distribution by age | 254 |
| Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age | 256 |
| Distribution by sex | 257 |
| Distribution by race | 258 |
| Rural county arrest trends: | |
| 1996-1997 | 261 |
| Sex, 1996-1997 | 262 |
| Rural county arrests, 1997: | |
| Distribution by age | 263 |
| Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age | 265 |
| Distribution by sex | 266 |
| Distribution by race | 267 |
| Suburban area arrest trends: | |
| 1996-1997 | 270 |
| Sex, 1996-1997 | 271 |
| Suburban area arrests, 1997: | |
| Distribution by age | 272 |
| Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age | 274 |
| Distribution by sex | 275 |
| Distribution by race | 276 |
| Police disposition of juvenile offenders taken into custody, 1997 | 279 |
| Arrests by state, 1997 | 280 |
| Section V — Juvenile Female Crime: A special study | 288 |
| Narrative comments | 288 |
| Tables: | |
| Age specific violent crime arrest rates by sex (per 100,000) | 289 |
| Juvenile offenders by relationship to victim by sex | 292 |
| Weapon usage in incidents involving juvenile offenders by sex | 295 |
| Juvenile offenders by injury inflicted on victim by sex | 295 |
| Figures: | |
| Juvenile Index crime arrest rates by sex (per 100,000) | 290 |
| Juvenile violent crime arrest rates by sex (per 100,000) | 290 |
| Violent crime arrests as a percentage of all Index crime arrests for juveniles (by sex) | 291 |
| Juvenile females as a percentage of all juvenile Index crime arrests | 293 |
| Juvenile females as a percentage of all juvenile violent crime arrests | 293 |
| Juvenile offenders by age of victim (where age is known) | 294 |
| Juvenile offenders by sex of juvenile victim (where sex is known) | 294 |
| Section VI — Law Enforcement Personnel | 296 |
| Narrative comments | 296 |
| Tables: | |
| Full-time law enforcement employees, October 31, 1997: | |
| Employees, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by population group | 297 |
| Officers, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by population group | 298 |
| Employees, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants | 299 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Officers, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants | 300 |
| Employees, percent male and female | 301 |
| Civilian employees, percent of total, population group | 301 |
| State law enforcement agencies | 302 |
| States | 303 |
| Cities | 304 |
| Universities and colleges | 378 |
| Suburban counties | 384 |
| Rural counties | 389 |
| Section VII — Appendices | 400 |
| Appendix I — Methodology | 400 |
| Appendix II — Offenses in Uniform Crime Reporting | 407 |
| Appendix III — Uniform Crime Reporting Area Definitions | 408 |
| Appendix IV — The Nation’s Two Crime Measures | 411 |
| Appendix V — Directory of State Uniform Crime Reporting Programs | 413 |
| Appendix VI — National Uniform Crime Reporting Program Directory | 419 |
| Appendix VII — Uniform Crime Reporting Publications List | 420 |