





# UNIFORM CRIME REPORTS

*for the United States*

## 1996

**SUMMARY**

**CRIME INDEX**

**CRIMES CLEARED**

**PERSONS ARRESTED**

**TOPICAL STUDY**

**LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL**

**APPENDICES**

**PRINTED  
ANNUALLY**

Federal Bureau of Investigation  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Washington, D.C. 20535

**ADVISORY:**

Committee on Uniform Crime Records  
International Association of Chiefs of Police;  
Committee on Uniform Crime Reporting  
National Sheriffs' Association;  
Criminal Justice Information Services Advisory Policy Board

## PREFACE

Each year *Crime in the United States* presents crime statistics for the Nation as a whole, as well as for regions, states, counties, cities, towns, and college and university campuses. These data are compiled from monthly law enforcement reports or individual crime incident records transmitted directly to the FBI or to centralized state agencies that then report to the FBI. The primary objective of the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program is to provide a reliable set of criminal justice statistics for law enforcement administration, operation, and management.

UCR crime statistics permit studies among neighboring jurisdictions and among those with similar populations and other common characteristics. In addition, a study of the nature and movement of crime over time allows researchers to theorize about the causes underlying changes and fluctuations and to hypothesize about possible effects on families and communities. A study of the crime data for a specific geographic locale enables researchers to assess the influence of crime on a particular district. For these reasons, UCR data are used not only by criminal justice agencies but by university researchers, sociologists, criminologists, community development organizations, tourism agencies, media, and many others. Each year the Uniform Crime Reporting Program strives to compile and present the facts and the many facets of crime in the United States in ways that will assist all students of crime data.

This current edition includes for the first time a presentation of the reported data on those Index crimes which are motivated by bias against individuals based upon race, religion, sexual orientation, or ethnicity. The hate crime data are extracts of those to be reported in the annual publication, *Hate Crime Statistics*. Produced since 1992, they provide an important additional perspective on the Nation's crime experience and are a positive addition to the historical crime report.

As the 21st century approaches, the Uniform Crime Reporting Program shares with state and local law enforcement an ever-increasing commitment to implement fully the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS). The foundation of the national system will be the thousands of automated records-management systems that are being developed within individual agencies across the Nation. Within the next few years, *information* may prove to be law enforcement's most important weapon. Many progressive computerized systems have the capacity not only to compile crime statistics but to link all associated criminal justice information systems. Good automated systems generate data that benefit officers in patrol cars as much as detectives and prosecutors. They also produce the statistical reports required for NIBRS.

The UCR staff continues to support and encourage law enforcement's efforts to develop computerized data collection systems through research, training, and technical assistance. Recently, Austin, Texas, became the first city with over 500,000 population to institute NIBRS reporting as part of a comprehensive, incident-based, automated records-management system. As more and more agencies, large and small, become part of NIBRS, the FBI will be able to offer more comprehensive national and regional crime statistics for use in law enforcement decisionmaking at all levels of government.

The Uniform Crime Reporting Program staff, now fully established in Clarksburg, West Virginia, is looking to the future with optimism and a commitment to maintain the high standards that have characterized the Program from its inception.

## CRIME FACTORS

Each year when *Crime in the United States* is published, many entities—news media, tourism agencies, and other groups with an interest in crime in our Nation—use reported Crime Index figures to compile rankings of cities and counties. These rankings lead to simplistic and/or incomplete analyses which often create misleading perceptions adversely affecting cities and counties, along with their residents. Assessing criminality and law enforcement's response from jurisdiction to jurisdiction must encompass many elements, some of which, while having significant impact, are not readily measurable nor applicable pervasively among all locales. Geographic and demographic factors specific to each jurisdiction must be considered and applied if crime assessment is to approach completeness and accuracy. There are several sources of information which may assist the responsible researcher. The U.S. Bureau of the Census data, for example, can be utilized to better understand the makeup of a locale's population. The transience of the population, its racial and ethnic makeup, its composition by age and gender, education levels, and prevalent family structures are all key factors in assessing and comprehending the crime issue.

Local chambers of commerce, planning offices, or similar entities provide information regarding the economic and cultural makeup of cities and counties. Understanding a jurisdiction's industrial/economic base, its dependence upon neighboring jurisdictions, its transportation system, its economic dependence on nonresidents (such as tourists and convention attendees), its proximity to military installations, etc., all contribute to accurately gauging and interpreting the crime known to and reported by law enforcement.

The strength (personnel and other resources) and the aggressiveness of a jurisdiction's law enforcement agency are also key factors. While information pertaining to the number of sworn and civilian law enforcement employees can be found in this publication, assessment of the law enforcement emphases is, of course, much more difficult. For example, one city may report more crime than a comparable one, not because there is more crime, but rather because its law enforcement agency through proactive efforts identifies more offenses. Attitudes of the citizens toward crime and their crime reporting practices, especially concerning more minor offenses, have an impact on the volume of crimes known to police.

It is incumbent upon all data users to become as well educated as possible about how to categorize and quantify the nature and extent of crime in the United States and in any of the over 16,000 jurisdictions represented by law enforcement contributors to this Program. Valid assessments are possible only with careful study and analysis of the various unique conditions affecting each local law enforcement jurisdiction.

Historically, the causes and origins of crime have been the subjects of investigation by varied disciplines. Some factors which are known to affect the volume and type of crime occurring from place to place are:

- Population density and degree of urbanization.
- Variations in composition of the population, particularly youth concentration.
- Stability of population with respect to residents' mobility, commuting patterns, and transient factors.
- Modes of transportation and highway system.
- Economic conditions, including median income, poverty level, and job availability.
- Cultural factors and educational, recreational, and religious characteristics.
- Family conditions with respect to divorce and family cohesiveness.
- Climate.
- Effective strength of law enforcement agencies.
- Administrative and investigative emphases of law enforcement.
- Policies of other components of the criminal justice system (i.e., prosecutorial, judicial, correctional, and probational).
- Citizens' attitudes toward crime.
- Crime reporting practices of the citizenry.

The Uniform Crime Reports give a nationwide view of crime based on statistics contributed by state and local law enforcement agencies. Population size is the only correlate of crime utilized in this publication. While the other factors listed above are of equal concern, no attempt is made to relate them to the data presented. *The reader is, therefore, cautioned against comparing statistical data of individual reporting units from cities, counties, metropolitan areas, states, or colleges and universities solely on the basis of their population coverage or student enrollment.*

**Data users are cautioned against comparisons of crime trends presented in this report and those estimated by the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Because of differences in methodology and crime coverage, the two programs examine the Nation's crime problem from somewhat different perspectives, and their results are not strictly comparable. The definitional and procedural differences can account for many of the apparent discrepancies in results from the two programs.**



# CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Section I — Summary of the Uniform Crime Reporting Program .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Section II — Crime Index Offenses Reported .....</b>	<b>5</b>
Narrative comments:	
Crime Index Total .....	5
Violent Crime: .....	10
Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter .....	13
Forcible rape .....	23
Robbery .....	26
Aggravated assault .....	31
Property Crime: .....	35
Burglary .....	38
Larceny–theft .....	43
Motor vehicle theft .....	49
Arson .....	53
Hate Crime .....	57
Crime Index Tabulations .....	61
Charts:	
Crime clock, 1996 .....	4
Crime Index total, 1992-1996 .....	7
Crime Index offenses, percent distribution, 1996 .....	8
Regional violent and property crime rates, 1996 .....	9
Violent crime, 1992-1996 .....	12
Murder, 1992-1996 .....	15
Forcible rape, 1992-1996 .....	25
Robbery, 1992-1996 .....	28
Robbery analysis, 1992-1996 .....	30
Aggravated assault, 1992-1996 .....	33
Property crime, 1992-1996 .....	37
Burglary, 1992-1996 .....	40
Burglary analysis, 1992-1996 .....	41
Larceny–theft, 1992-1996 .....	45
Larceny analysis, 1992-1996 .....	46
Larceny analysis, 1996 .....	47
Motor vehicle theft, 1992-1996 .....	51
Bias motivation, 1996 .....	59
Tables:	
Crime Index total by month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	6
Violent crime total by month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	11
Murder:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	14
Victims by race and sex, 1996 .....	14
Age, sex, and race of victims, 1996 .....	16
Age, sex, and race of offenders, 1996 .....	16
Victim/offender relationship by age, 1996 .....	17
Victim/offender relationship by race and sex, 1996 .....	17
Types of weapons used, 1996 .....	18
Victims, types of weapons used, 1992-1996 .....	18
Victims, types of weapons used, 1996 .....	18

Circumstances by relationship, 1996 .....	19
Circumstances by weapon, 1996 .....	20
Circumstances, 1992-1996 .....	21
Circumstances by victim sex, 1996 .....	21
Justifiable homicide by weapon, 1992-1996:	
Law enforcement .....	22
Private citizen .....	22
Forcible rape, by month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	24
Robbery:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	27
Percent distribution, region, 1996 .....	27
Percent distribution, population group, 1996 .....	29
Types of weapons used, 1996 .....	29
Aggravated assault:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	32
Types of weapons used, 1996 .....	32
Property crime total by month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	36
Burglary, by month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	39
Larceny-theft:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	44
Percent distribution, region, 1996 .....	44
Motor vehicle theft:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1992-1996 .....	50
Percent distribution, region, 1996 .....	50
Arson, 1996:	
Rate, population group .....	54
Type of property .....	54
Structures not in use .....	55
Monetary value of property damaged .....	55
Offenses cleared by arrest .....	55
Offenses cleared by arrest of persons under 18 years of age .....	55
Hate Crime, 1996:	
Number of offenses .....	58
Agency hate crime, state .....	60
Index of crime:	
United States, 1977-1996 .....	62
United States, 1996 .....	63
Regional offense and population distribution, 1996 .....	63
Region, geographic division, and state, 1995-1996 .....	64
State, 1996 .....	72
Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1996 .....	84
Offense analysis, United States, 1992-1996 .....	111
Number of offenses known to the police, 1996:	
Cities and towns 10,000 and over in population .....	112
Universities and colleges .....	157
Suburban counties .....	166
Rural counties 25,000 and over in population .....	179
Crime trends, offenses known to the police, 1995-1996:	
Population group .....	187
Suburban and nonsuburban cities, population group .....	189
Suburban and nonsuburban counties, population group .....	190
Offense breakdown, population group .....	191



Crime rates, offenses known to the police, 1996:	
Population group .....	193
Suburban and nonsuburban cities, population group .....	195
Suburban and nonsuburban counties, population group .....	196
Offense breakdown, population group .....	197
Murder, state, types of weapons, 1996 .....	199
Robbery, state, types of weapons, 1996 .....	200
Aggravated assault, state, types of weapons, 1996 .....	201
Offense analysis, 1996, and percent change from 1995 .....	202
Type and value of property stolen and recovered, 1996 .....	202
<b>Section III — Crime Index Offenses Cleared .....</b>	<b>203</b>
Narrative comments .....	203
Chart:	
Crimes cleared by arrest, 1996 .....	204
Tables:	
Offenses known and percent cleared by arrest, 1996:	
Population group .....	205
Geographic region and division .....	207
Offense breakdown, population group .....	209
Offenses cleared by arrest of persons under 18 years of age, 1996 .....	211
<b>Section IV — Persons Arrested .....</b>	<b>213</b>
Narrative comments .....	213
Tables:	
Arrests for drug abuse violations, 1996 .....	213
Total estimated arrests, United States, 1996 .....	214
Arrests, number and rate, 1996:	
Region .....	215
Population group .....	216
Total arrest trends:	
1987-1996 .....	218
Sex, 1987-1996 .....	219
1992-1996 .....	220
Sex, 1992-1996 .....	221
1995-1996 .....	222
Sex, 1995-1996 .....	223
Total arrests, 1996:	
Distribution by age .....	224
Male arrests, distribution by age .....	226
Female arrests, distribution by age .....	228
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age .....	230
Distribution by sex .....	231
Distribution by race .....	232
City arrest trends:	
1995-1996 .....	235
Sex, 1995-1996 .....	236
City arrests, 1996:	
Distribution by age .....	237
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age .....	239
Distribution by sex .....	240
Distribution by race .....	241

Suburban county arrest trends:	
1995-1996 .....	244
Sex, 1995-1996 .....	245
Suburban county arrests, 1996:	
Distribution by age .....	246
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age .....	248
Distribution by sex .....	249
Distribution by race .....	250
Rural county arrest trends:	
1995-1996 .....	253
Sex, 1995-1996 .....	254
Rural county arrests, 1996:	
Distribution by age .....	255
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age .....	257
Distribution by sex .....	258
Distribution by race .....	259
Suburban area arrest trends:	
1995-1996 .....	262
Sex, 1995-1996 .....	263
Suburban area arrests, 1996:	
Distribution by age .....	264
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age .....	266
Distribution by sex .....	267
Distribution by race .....	268
Police disposition of juvenile offenders taken into custody, 1996 .....	271
Arrests by state, 1996 .....	272
<b>Section V — Drugs in America: 1980-1995 .....</b>	<b>280</b>
Narrative comments .....	280
Tables:	
Percent changes in the estimated number of drug arrests by type, United States, 1995 over 1980, 1985, and 1990 .....	280
Percent changes in estimated number of drug arrests by region, United States, 1995 over 1990 .....	280
Percent distribution of total drug arrests by sale/manufacture and possession, United States, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 .....	280
Percent distribution of total drug arrests by race, United States, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 .....	282
Percent changes in the estimated number of heroin/cocaine and marijuana arrests by race, United States, 1995 over 1990 .....	282
Charts:	
Estimated drug arrests, United States, 1980-1995 .....	281
Estimated heroin/cocaine arrests, United States, 1980-1995 .....	281
Estimated marijuana arrests, United States, 1980-1995 .....	281
Estimated synthetic drug arrests, United States, 1980-1995 .....	281
Estimated other drug arrests, United States, 1980-1995 .....	281
Estimated adult drug arrests, United States, 1980-1995 .....	283
Estimated juvenile drug arrests, United States, 1980-1995 .....	283
<b>Section VI — Law Enforcement Personnel .....</b>	<b>285</b>
Narrative comments .....	285
Tables:	
Full-time law enforcement employees, October 31, 1996: Employees, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by population group .....	286

Officers, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by population group .....	287
Employees, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants .....	288
Officers, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants .....	289
Employees, percent male and female .....	290
Civilian employees, percent of total, population group .....	290
State law enforcement agencies .....	291
States .....	292
Cities .....	293
Universities and colleges .....	365
Suburban counties .....	371
Rural counties .....	376
 <b>Section VII — Appendices</b> .....	 <b>388</b>
Appendix I — Methodology .....	388
Appendix II — Offenses in Uniform Crime Reporting .....	395
Appendix III — Uniform Crime Reporting Area Definitions .....	396
Appendix IV — The Nation’s Two Crime Measures .....	399
Appendix V — Directory of State Uniform Crime Reporting Programs .....	401
Appendix VI — National Uniform Crime Reporting Program Directory .....	407
Appendix VII — Uniform Crime Reporting Publications List .....	408

**Evaluation Form For**  
*Crime in the United States - 1996*

1. For what purpose did you use this issue of *Crime in the United States*?
  
2. Was the publication adequate for that purpose?  
 Quite adequate       Somewhat adequate       Quite inadequate  
 Adequate       Not Adequate
  
3. Are there presentations not included that you would find particularly useful?
  
4. What changes, if any, would you recommend for subsequent issues?
  
5. Can you point out specific table notes or presentations which are not clear or additional terms which need to be defined?
  
6. In what capacity did you use *Crime in the United States*?  
 Criminal justice/law enforcement       Researcher  
 agency employee (*specify functional area*)       Student  
 Legislator  
 Other government employee       Media  
 Private citizen       Other (*specify*)  
 Educator
  
7. Add any additional comments you care to make.